

# **Como medir a eficácia de um programa de uso responsável de antimicrobianos na prática**

Dr Jaime Rocha CRM 17227  
Clínica Médica e Infectologia

# Conflitos de interesse

- Pfizer
- Novartis
- Sanofi
- AstraZeneca
- Lilly
- Angem
- DASA
- Unimed

# Conflitos de interesse

- Eu acredito em uso racional
- Eu penso como clínico

## Como medir a eficácia

- NÃO SEI





ALLIANCE FOR THE PRUDENT USE OF ANTIBIOTICS

**APUA**

*Preserving the Power of Antibiotics®*

[ABOUT US](#) [30TH ANNIVERSARY](#) [ABOUT THE ISSUE](#) [POLICY](#) [RESEARCH](#) [INTERNATIONAL CHAPTERS](#) [CONSUMERS and PRACTITIONERS](#) [NEWS](#)



## News-Newsletter Vol. 29 No. 1

## APUA Recommended Stewardship Resources and Sample Tools

## Online Resources for Antibiotic Stewardship\*

Comprehensive Web sites from national and international organizations with information on many aspects of

### News

[Events](#)

[APUA Leadership Awards](#)

[APUA in the News](#)

[Antibiotic Resistance](#)

[APUA Highlights](#)

[APUA Newsletter](#)

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	T	U	V	W	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF		
1																													
	ISOLATES FROM ALL ADULTS	# Isolates	Amikacin	Ampicillin	Ampicillin	Aztreonam	Cefazolin	Cefepime	Ceftazidime	Ciprofloxacin	Clindamycin	Ertapenem	Erythromycin	Fluconazole	Gentamicin	Imipenem	Methicillin Nafcilin	Minocycline	Penicillin	Piperacillin/tazobactam	Streptomycin	Tetracycline	Tobramycin	Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	Vancomycin				
2																													
3	Gram-negative																												
4	<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>																												
5	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>																												
6	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>																												
7	<i>Escherichia coli</i>																												
8	<i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i>																												
9	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>																												
10	<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>																												
11	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>																												
12	<i>Serratia marcescens</i>																												
13	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>																												
14	Gram-positive																												
15	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>																												
16	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>																												
17	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>																												
18	ER isolates only																												
19	<i>Staphylococcus coagulans</i> -neg.																												
20	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>																												
21	ER isolates only																												
22	Yeast																												
23	<i>Candida albicans</i>																												
24	<i>Candida glabrata</i>																												
25	*Susceptibility based on non-meningeal breakpoints. Meningeal breakpoint = 94 % susceptibility for all isolates, 94% for ER isolates													***If D test is positive, resistance to clindamycin may develop during therapy, resulting in clinical failure															
26	**When susceptible, combination therapy with specified aminoglycoside and ampicillin or vancomycin is likely to be synergistic.																												

According to accepted standards, data should only be reported for pathogens for which 30 or more isolates were recovered during the reporting period

The antibiotics listed can be customized to reflect your hospital's formulary

At some institutions, the susceptibility of MRSA isolates to other agents are reported separately

Reporting of *S. aureus* isolates from the ER may help to quantify the impact of community-associated MRSA



**Ventilator-associated/Healthcare-associated/  
Hospital-acquired pneumonia  
ORDER SHEET for ADULT PATIENTS**

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ TIME: \_\_\_\_\_ (24-hour clock)

Patient Allergies:	Weight (Kg):	Serum Creatinine:	Creatinine Clearance (mL/min):
--------------------	--------------	-------------------	--------------------------------

MEDICATION ORDERS ONLY (INCLUDES IV MEDICATIONS)	PHYSICIAN'S ORDERS (EXCLUDES MEDICATION ORDERS)
<b>Order Set A. No Risk factors for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms (see Risk Assessment for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Ceftriaxone 1g IV Q24 hours x 72 hours OR <input type="checkbox"/> Moxifloxacin 400 mg <input type="checkbox"/> IV or <input type="checkbox"/> PO Q24 hours x 72 hours Consider adding Vancomycin if history of infection or colonization with MRSA <input type="checkbox"/> Vancomycin _____mg IV Q _____hours x 72 hours <sup>a</sup> Consider adding Azithromycin for coverage of atypical organisms <input type="checkbox"/> Azithromycin 500 mg <input type="checkbox"/> IV or <input type="checkbox"/> PO Q 24 hours x 72 hours	<b>Respiratory Specimen Order (select one)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Sputum gram stain and culture (if a sputum has been processed by the laboratory in the last 72 hours, use standard micro requisition but write in "new pneumonia") If patient is intubated and no antibiotic changes have been made in the last 72 hours (changes made in the last 6 hours are acceptable) and bronchoscopy cannot be performed: <input type="checkbox"/> Mini Bronchoalveolar Lavage (Mini-BAL) for quantitative culture (Page respiratory to perform, do not hold antibiotics until obtained, use standard micro requisition but write in "quantitative mini-BAL culture" and attach designated sticker)
<b>Order Set B. Risk factors for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms (see Risk Assessment for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms) AND not intubated</b> <b>Drug 1:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Cefepime 2g IV Q8 hours x 72 hours <sup>a,b</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Cefepime _____g IV Q _____x 72 hours <sup>b</sup> OR if patient has recent history of hives, anaphylaxis or Stevens-Johnson syndrome to penicillin or cephalosporin: <input type="checkbox"/> Aztreonam 2 g IV Q8 hours x 72 hours <sup>a,b</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Aztreonam _____mg IV Q _____hours x 72 hours <b>AND</b> <b>Drug 2:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Vancomycin _____mg IV Q _____hours x 72 hours <sup>a</sup>	<b>Laboratory Orders:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Blood cultures x 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Legionella urinary antigen <b>Other Orders:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Continuous pulse oximetry OR <input type="checkbox"/> Pulse oximetry Q _____hours <input type="checkbox"/> Chest X-ray in A.M. PA/LAT OR <input type="checkbox"/> Chest X-ray in A.M. portable <input type="checkbox"/> Check a tobramycin serum concentration 2 hours and 8 hours AFTER the infusion of tobramycin is completed and contact pharmacy for further dosing assistance
<b>Order Set C. Risk factors for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms (see Risk Assessment for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms) AND intubated:</b> <b>Drug 1:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Cefepime 2g IV Q8 hours x 72 hours <sup>a,b</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Cefepime _____g IV Q _____x 72 hours <sup>b</sup> OR if patient has recent history of hives, anaphylaxis or Stevens-Johnson syndrome to penicillin or cephalosporin: <input type="checkbox"/> Aztreonam 2 g IV Q8 hours x 72 hours <sup>a,b</sup> <input type="checkbox"/> Aztreonam _____mg IV Q _____hours x 72 hours <sup>b</sup> <b>AND Drug 2: Tobramycin<sup>a,c</sup></b> <input type="checkbox"/> Tobramycin _____mg IV ONCE • If CrCl > 40 mL/min use extended interval dose (6 mg/kg, use ideal or dosing weight) • If CrCl ≤ 40 mL/min use traditional dosing (3 mg/kg, use ideal or dosing weight) <b>AND Drug 3:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Vancomycin _____mg IV Q _____hours x 72 hours <sup>a</sup> OR <input type="checkbox"/> Linezolid 600mg <input type="checkbox"/> IV or <input type="checkbox"/> PO Q12hours x 72 hours	<b>Risk Assessment for Multi-drug Resistant Organisms</b> <b>Step 1: My patient has a NEW pneumonia that developed in the hospital AND:</b> • Is currently hospitalized for 5 days or more OR • Has received antibiotics for 5 days or more in the last 30 days OR • Has immunosuppressive disease or therapy <b>If answer is YES (to 1 or more), then...</b> • if not intubated – Order Set B • if intubated – Order Set C <b>If NO – go to step 2 below</b> <b>Step 2: My patient has pneumonia and one or more of the following risk factors for drug resistant organisms:</b> <b>Criteria 1</b> • Recent hospitalization 5 or more days in last 30 days OR • Residence in a nursing home or long-term care facility OR • Home infusion therapy (i.e. tpn, chemotherapy) OR • Chronic Dialysis (>30 days) OR • Recipient of home wound care OR • Has immunosuppressive disease or therapy <b>AND</b> <b>Criteria 2: TWO of the following THREE risk factors:</b> 1. Requires ICU admission 2. Three or more days of antibiotics in the past 6 months 3. Inability to perform self care • Does NOT meet criteria 1 = Order Set A • Criteria 1 but NOT Criteria 2: Order Set A + Vancomycin • Criteria 1 and Criteria 2, not intubated = Order Set B • Criteria 1 and Criteria 2, intubated = Order Set C

**FOOTNOTES**

<sup>a</sup> Adjust dose for renal dysfunction. See Tufts-MC Antibiotic Guidebook or Tufts-MC Pharmacy website.

<sup>b</sup> If patient recently received a  $\beta$  lactam or quinolone or has history of ESBL, please call AMT for consideration of therapy targeting ESBLs.

<sup>c</sup> For patients with acute renal failure and/or CKD, ciprofloxacin may be considered as a second agent; however gram negative organisms are frequently quinolone resistant. Please call AMT with questions.

Physician's Name (Print): \_\_\_\_\_ Physician's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Pager # \_\_\_\_\_  
White - Medical Records Yellow - Pharmacy

## ANTIBIOTIC AUDIT REPORT

### Patient Information

Name _____	Admit Date _____
Record No. _____	Unit _____
DOB/Age _____	Service _____
Gender _____	Admit Source _____
Age _____	Allergies _____
Weight (kg) _____	_____

### Clinical Information

Admitting Diagnosis \_\_\_\_\_

Prior Medical History \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Recent Antibiotics Y / N \_\_\_\_\_

Immunocompromised Y / N \_\_\_\_\_ Septic Y / N \_\_\_\_\_

Suspected Site of Infection:      Lungs    Abdomen    Urine/Bladder    Bloodstream

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Tmax \_\_\_\_\_      WBC \_\_\_\_\_      CrCl \_\_\_\_\_

Culture Results	Date	Site	Pathogen
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____

### Antibiotic Information

Date	Agent	Dose	Route	Prescriber
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____



[Show additional filters](#)

**Display Settings:** ☒ Summary, 20 per page, Sorted by Recently Added

**Send to:** ☒ [Filters: Manage Filters](#)

[Clear all](#)

**Results: 1 to 20 of 998**

<< First < Prev Page 1 of 50 Next > Last >>

**Filters activated:** published in the last 5 years [Clear all](#)

**Article types**

Randomized Trial

Review

More ...

Next

Availability

Abstract available

Free full text available

All text available

**Publication**

clear

Years

1 year

Custom range...

**Species**

Humans

Other Animals

[Clear all](#)

[Show additional filters](#)



1. [Increase in Bloodstream Infection Due to Vancomycin-Susceptible Enterococcus faecium in Cancer Patients: Risk Factors, Molecular Epidemiology and Outcomes.](#)  
Gudiol C, Ayats J, Camoez M, Domínguez MÁ, García-Vidal C, Bodro M, Ardanuy C, Obed M, Arnan M, Antonio M, Carratalà J.  
PLoS One. 2013 Sep 19;8(9):e74734. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074734.  
PMID: 24069339 [PubMed - in process]



2. [A stewardship approach to optimize antimicrobial therapy through use of a rapid microarray assay on blood cultures positive with Enterococcus species.](#)  
Sango A, McCarter YS, Johnson D, Ferreira J, Guzman N, Jankowski CA.  
J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Sep 25. [Epub ahead of print]  
PMID: 24068006 [PubMed - as supplied by publisher]



3. [Spatially explicit data: stewardship and ethical challenges in science.](#)  
Hartert J, Ryan SJ, Mackenzie CA, Parker JN, Strasser CA.  
PLoS Biol. 2013 Sep;11(9):e1001634. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001634. Epub 2013 Sep 3.  
PMID: 24058292 [PubMed - in process] [Free PMC Article](#)  
[Related citations](#)



4. [Evaluation of the Nanosphere Verigene Gram-Positive Blood Culture Assay with VersaTREK Blood Culture System and Assessment of Possible Impact on Selected Patients.](#)

### Related searches

[antimicrobial stewardship program](#)  
[antifungal stewardship](#)  
[antibiotic stewardship programs](#)  
[stewardship clostridium](#)  
[antimicrobial stewardship outcomes](#)

### Titles with your search terms

[Antimicrobial stewardship.](#) [Am J Med. 2006]  
[Hospital antibiotic stewardship.](#) [Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2008]  
[Antimicrobial stewardship: bridging the gap between c](#) [Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2011]  
[See more..](#)

### 207 free full-text articles in PubMed Central

[The Veterinarian's Role in Antimicrobial Stewardship.](#) [Can Vet J. 2013]  
[Research tools to investigate movements migrations and life hist](#) [PLoS One. 2013]

[Show additional filters](#)

**Display Settings:** ☒ Summary, 20 per page, Sorted by Recently Added

**Send to:** ☒

**Filters:** [Manage Filters](#)

[Clear all](#)

#### Article types

Clinical Trial

Review

More ...

#### Text

availability

Abstract available

Free full text available

Full text available

#### Publication dates

clear

☒ 5 years

10 years

Custom range...

#### Species

Humans

Other Animals

[Clear all](#)

[Show additional filters](#)

### Results: 1 to 20 of 573

<< First < Prev Page 1 of 29 Next > Last >>

**i** Filters activated: published in the last 5 years [Clear all](#)

- ☐ [Increase in Bloodstream Infection Due to Vancomycin-Susceptible Enterococcus faecium in Cancer Patients: Risk Factors, Molecular Epidemiology and Outcomes.](#)  
Gudiol C, Ayats J, Camoez M, Domínguez MÁ, García-Vidal C, Bodro M, Ardanuy C, Obed M, Arnan M, Antonio M, Carratalà J.  
PLoS One. 2013 Sep 19;8(9):e74734. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074734.  
PMID: 24069339 [PubMed - in process]
- ☐ [A stewardship approach to optimize antimicrobial therapy through use of a rapid microarray assay on blood cultures positive with Enterococcus species.](#)  
Sango A, McCarter YS, Johnson D, Ferreira J, Guzman N, Jankowski CA.  
J Clin Microbiol. 2013 Sep 25. [Epub ahead of print]  
PMID: 24068006 [PubMed - as supplied by publisher]
- ☐ [Antimicrobial stewardship programmes: the need for wider engagement.](#)  
Charani E, Holmes AH.  
BMJ Qual Saf. 2013 Sep 17. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2013-002444. [Epub ahead of print] No abstract available.  
PMID: 24046440 [PubMed - as supplied by publisher]  
[Related citations](#)
- ☐ [Strategies to minimize antibiotic resistance.](#)  
Lee CR, Cho IH, Jeong BC, Lee SH.

#### Related searches

[antimicrobial stewardship progra](#)  
[antimicrobial stewardship cost](#)  
[antimicrobial stewardship inpatie](#)  
[antimicrobial stewardship outcor](#)  
[antimicrobial stewardship clostri](#)

#### Titles with your search terms

[Antimicrobial stewardship.](#) [Am J Med.  
[Antimicrobial stewardship: bridgi](#)  
[gap between c \[Curr Opin Infect Dis.](#)  
[Improving the quality of antibiotic](#)  
[prescribin \[J Antimicrob Chemother.](#)  
See I

#### 92 free full-text articles in PubMed Central

[The Veterinarian's Role in Antimicr](#)  
[Stewardship.](#) [Can Vet J.  
[Clostridium difficile exposure as an](#)



1 selected item: 22418627 x Antimicrobial stewardship x

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22418627

Esta página está em **inglês** Deseja traduzi-la? Traduzir Não

NCBI Resources How To Sign in to

PubMed.gov  
US National Library of Medicine  
National Institutes of Health

PubMed Advanced Search

Display Settings: Abstract

Send to:

The University of Chicago Press

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2012 Apr;33(4):331-7. doi: 10.1086/664755.

## Antimicrobial stewardship--the state of the art in 2011: focus on outcome and methods.

McGowan JE.

Department of Epidemiology, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia 30322, USA. jmcgowa@emory.edu

### Abstract

Antimicrobial stewardship programs attempt to optimize prescribing of these drugs to benefit both current and future patients. Recent regulatory and other incentives have led to widespread adoption of such programs. Measurements of the success of these programs have focused primarily on process measures. However, evaluation of outcome measures will be needed to ensure sustainability of these efforts. Outcome efforts to date provide some evidence for improved care of individual patients, some evidence for minimizing emergence of resistance, and ample evidence for cost reduction. Attention to evaluation methods must be increased to provide convincing evidence for the continuation of such programs.

PMID: 22418627 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Publication Types, MeSH Terms, Substances

LinkOut - more resources

### Save items

Add to Favorites

### Related citations in PubMed

Policy statement on antimicrobial stewardship [Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.

Prescribing trends before and after implementation of an antimicrobial stewardship program [Med J Aust.

Optimising antimicrobial prescription in hospitals by introducing antimicrobial stewardship [Hong Kong Med J.

Antimicrobial stewardship: a proactive approach to combating resistance [JAAPA.

**Review** Quality measures of antimicrobial stewardship [Int J Antimicrob Agents.

See rev

Se

### Cited by 2 PubMed Central articles

**Review** Antimicrobial stewardship: a review of prospective and retrospective studies [Virulence.

# Como medem

REVIEW

SPECIAL FOCUS REVIEW: ANTIMICROBIAL STEWARDSHIP

Virulence 4:2, 151–157; February 15, 2013; © 2013 Landes Bioscience

## Antimicrobial stewardship

A review of prospective audit and feedback systems  
and an objective evaluation of outcomes

---

Gladys W. Chung,<sup>1</sup> Jia En Wu,<sup>1</sup> Chay Leng Yeo,<sup>1</sup> Douglas Chan<sup>2</sup> and Li Yang Hsu<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy; National University Health System; Singapore; <sup>2</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine; National University Health System; Singapore;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine; National University Health System; Singapore

**Keywords:** antimicrobial stewardship, prospective audit and feedback, antimicrobial resistance, antibiotics, cost effectiveness, quasi-experimental study design

## CORE STRATEGIES

Strategy	Rationale
Prospective audits with intervention and feedback to the prescriber	Performed by infectious diseases physician or clinical pharmacist with infectious diseases training Can assist in reducing inappropriate use of antimicrobials
Formulary restrictions	Can lead to immediate and significant reductions in use and cost of antimicrobials Role of preauthorization requirements has not been established and may shift use to other antimicrobial agent leading to increased resistance Where preauthorization is used, monitoring is necessary

## STRATEGIES FOR CONSIDERATION BASED ON LOCAL PRACTICE PATTERNS

Strategy	Rationale
Education	Provides foundation to influence prescribing behaviors and accept antimicrobial stewardship Education alone has marginal effect in changing behavior
Guidelines and Clinical Pathways	Develop using multidisciplinary approach and local microbiological information (e.g., resistance patterns to improve utilization); implement through education and provider feedback
Antimicrobial Order Forms	Can be an effective component of a stewardship program and assist with practice guidelines
Streamlining or De-escalating Therapy	Used on the basis of microbiology culture reports and pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug characteristics. Can result in decreased antimicrobial exposure and cost savings
Optimizing Antibiotic Dose	Based on the individual patient characteristics, causative organism, site of infection, and characteristics of the drug
Converting from Parenteral to Oral	Determined by patient condition; can decrease length of stay and costs

# Dados possíveis de mensuração direta

- DDD
- DOT
- Taxas de resistência
- Custo direto
- Custo indireto e QALYs
- Mortalidade atribuída



# Não serve pra nada

- Não foi isso que eu disse
- Efetivo na perspectiva de quem

Paraquedas não serve pra nada



## Impact of antimicrobial stewardship in critical care: a systematic review

Reham Kaki<sup>1</sup>, Marion Ellingsen<sup>2</sup>, Sandra Walker<sup>2–4</sup>, Andrew Simor<sup>1,4</sup>, Lesley Palmay<sup>2</sup> and Nick Daneman<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

\*Corresponding author. Division of Infectious Diseases, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, 2075 Bayview Ave, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4N 2M5. Tel: +1-416-480-6100 ext 2791; Fax: +1-416-480-5808; E-mail: nick.daneman@sunnybrook.ca

Received 15 December 2010; returned 23 January 2011; revised 3 March 2011; accepted 5 March 2011

**Objectives:** To evaluate the current state of evidence for antimicrobial stewardship interventions in the critical care unit.

**Methods:** We performed a systematic search of OVID MEDLINE, Embase and Cochrane electronic databases from 1996–2010. Studies were included if they involved any experimental intervention to improve antimicrobial utilization in the critical care setting.

**Results:** Thirty-eight studies met the inclusion criteria, of which 24 met our quality inclusion criteria. The quality of research was poor, with only 3 randomized controlled trials, 3 interrupted time series and 18 (75%) uncontrolled before-and-after studies. We identified six intervention types: studies of antibiotic restriction or pre-approval (six studies); formal infectious diseases physician consultation (five); implementation of guidelines or protocols for de-escalation (two); guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis or treatment in intensive care (two); formal reassessment of antibiotics on a pre-specified day of therapy (three); and implementation of computer-assisted decision support (six). Stewardship interventions were associated with reductions in antimicrobial utilization (11%–38% defined daily doses/1000 patient-days), lower total antimicrobial costs (US\$ 5–10/patient-day), shorter average duration of antibiotic therapy, less inappropriate use and fewer antibiotic adverse events. Stewardship interventions beyond 6 months were associated with reductions in antimicrobial resistance rates, although this differed by drug–pathogen combination. Antibiotic stewardship was not associated with increases in nosocomial infection rates, length of stay or mortality.

**Conclusions:** More rigorous research is needed, but available evidence suggests that antimicrobial stewardship is associated with improved antimicrobial utilization in the intensive care unit, with corresponding improvements in antimicrobial resistance and adverse events, and without compromise of short-term clinical outcomes.

**Keywords:** antibacterial agents, drug resistance, microbial, critical care, intensive care, infection



## Cost-effectiveness analysis of an antimicrobial stewardship team on bloodstream infections: a probabilistic analysis

Marc H. Scheetz<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Maureen K. Bolon<sup>3,4</sup>, Michael Postelnick<sup>2</sup>, Gary A. Noskin<sup>3,4</sup>  
and Todd A. Lee<sup>3,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice, Midwestern University Chicago College of Pharmacy, Downers Grove, IL, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>4</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Northwestern University, Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>5</sup>Institute for Healthcare Studies and Division of General Internal Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>6</sup>Centre for Management of Complex Chronic Care, Hines VA Hospital, Hines, IL, USA

Received 2 September 2008; returned 31 October 2008; revised 16 December 2008; accepted 31 December 2008

**Objectives:** We sought to determine the cost-effectiveness of Antimicrobial Stewardship Teams (ASTs) on the reduction of morbidity and mortality associated with nosocomial bacteraemia.

**Methods:** A decision analytic model compared costs and outcomes of bacteraemic patients receiving standard treatment with or without an AST consult. Patients with a bacteraemic event during their hospital admission were included in the model. Effectiveness was estimated as quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) over the lifetime of patients. Model variables and costs, along with their distributions, were obtained from the literature and expert opinion. Incremental cost-effectiveness ratios (ICERs) were calculated to estimate the cost per QALY gained from the hospital perspective. Uncertainty in ICERs was evaluated with probabilistic sensitivity analyses. The cost-effectiveness of clinical decision support systems was evaluated as a secondary analysis.

**Results:** Implementing an AST for bacteraemia review cost \$39737 (95% CI \$27 272–53017) and standard treatment cost \$39563 (95% CI \$27164–52797). The difference in effectiveness between the two strategies was 0.08 QALYs, and the base case ICER from the probabilistic analysis was \$2367 per QALY gained [95% CI dominant (less costly, more effective) to \$24379]. Results from the probabilistic sensitivity analysis demonstrated there was more than a 90% likelihood that an AST would be cost-effective at a level of \$10000 per QALY.

**Conclusions:** Maintaining an AST to improve care for bacteraemia is cost-effective from the hospital perspective. The estimate of \$2367 per QALY gained for the AST intervention compares favourably with many currently funded healthcare interventions and services.

**Keywords:** cost-benefit analysis, bacteraemia, bacteremia, antimicrobial stewardship programme, clinical decision support

# Considerações adicionais

1 selected item: 22940379 x A review of the effect of ir x Downloads x

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22940379

Esta página está em **inglês** Deseja traduzi-la? Traduzir Não

NCBI Resources How To Sign in to

PubMed.gov  
US National Library of Medicine  
National Institutes of Health

PubMed Advanced Search

Display Settings: Abstract

Send to:

ELSEVIER  
FULL-TEXT ARTICLE

Vaccine. 2012 Oct 12;30(46):6509-14. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.08.031. Epub 2012 Aug 29.

## A review of the effect of immunization programs on antimicrobial utilization.

Wilby KJ, Werry D.

College of Pharmacy, Qatar University, PO Box 2713, Doha, Qatar. kjw@qu.edu.qa

### Abstract

The objective of this review is to summarize and evaluate the literature pertaining to antimicrobial utilization with respect to implementation of immunization programs or within clinical studies assessing vaccine effectiveness. A literature search was performed using the search terms vaccine; immunization; antimicrobial; antibiotic; influenza; pneumococcal; haemophilus; meningococcal in MEDLINE (1948-May 2012), EMBASE (1980-May 2012), International Pharmaceutical Abstracts (1970-May 2012), Google, and Google Scholar. Identified clinical or epidemiological studies were included if antimicrobial utilization was listed as a reported outcome. Seven articles (three randomized controlled trials and four epidemiological studies) were identified and included in the review. These studies reported outcomes associated with pneumococcal and influenza immunization programs. All studies reported decreased antibiotic use associated with initiation of immunization programs or increased uptake of available vaccines. Large-scale epidemiological studies confirm population-wide decreases observed from short-term randomized controlled trials. Antibiotic reductions ranged from 5 to 10% in randomized controlled trials to relative reductions of 64% in epidemiological studies. These findings suggest that immunization programs may reduce antibiotic utilization. As such, vaccination status queries and updates should become part of routine care for both hospitalized and non-hospitalized patients. Immunization programs should be considered as part of institution-wide antimicrobial stewardship programs.

Copyright © 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

PMID: 22940379 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Publication Types, MeSH Terms, Substances

LinkOut - more resources

### Save items

Add to Favorites

### Related citations in PubMed

**Review** Benchmarking antimicrobial use in [Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.

Implementation and outcomes of a hospital-w [Int J Antimicrob Agents.

**Review** Antimicrobial stewardship: bridging the g [Curr Opin Infect Dis.

**Review** Strategies for reduction in duration of antibiotic [Clin Infect Dis.

Screening and Interventions for Child Overweight

See rev

Se

### Recent Activity

Turn Off

A review of the effect of immuni



## Ethical dilemmas in antibiotic treatment

Leonard Leibovici<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Mical Paul<sup>2,3</sup> and Ovadia Ezra<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine E, Beilinson Hospital, Rabin Medical Center, Petah-Tiqva, Israel; <sup>2</sup>Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel; <sup>3</sup>Unit of Infectious Diseases, Beilinson Hospital, Rabin Medical Center, Petah-Tiqva, Israel; <sup>4</sup>Philosophy Department, The Lester and Sally Entin Faculty of Humanities, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel

\*Corresponding author. Department of Medicine E, Beilinson Hospital, Petah-Tiqva 49100, Israel. Tel: +972-3-9376501; Fax: +972-3-9376512; E-mail: leibovic@post.tau.ac.il

Patients with moderate to severe infections are given less than maximum empirical antibiotic treatment in order to reduce the rise in resistance. This practice involves two ethical dilemmas: whether the danger to a present patient should be increased (even if by a small degree) to benefit future, unidentified patients; and whether this should be done without the consent of the patient, disregarding the patient's autonomy. We argue that future patients have a right to come to no harm. Future patients being unidentified, practitioners of medicine have a duty to protect their rights and weigh them against the rights of the present patient. A decision on the collective (guidelines, decision support systems) is a convenient way to do that. Using a temporal discount rate to show that the life of present patients has pre-eminence, to some degree, over future patients does not solve the immediacy of the plight facing a present, identified patient with a very severe infection. We think there are good grounds to take into less account considerations of future resistance for such a patient, or in a formal analysis, to make the ratio of benefits to the present versus future patients dependent on the severity of disease of the present patient. None of these solve the problem of patients' autonomy. We see no other way but to argue that the right of future patients to come to less harm outweighs the right of the present patient to share in decisions on antibiotic treatment.

**Keywords:** ethics, antibiotic therapy, rights of unidentified people, professional duties



# USO RACIONAL DE ANTIMICROBIANOS

“As lições retiradas do tempo de guerra devem ser transferidas aos médicos civis. Essencialmente nós devemos assegurar que a condição clínica tenha resposta ao antimicrobiano, que material seja coletado para cultura e teste microbiológico e que o organismo seja susceptível, que a dose seja adequada e que o antibiótico atinja o sítio da infecção”

Alexander Fleming

Penicillin: its practical application. London: Butterworth, 1946: iii-vi

**Nossa responsabilidade é com o paciente**

# Referencias recomendadas

- Johansson B, Beekmann SE, Srinivasan A, Hersh AL, Laxminarayan R, Polgreen PM. **Improving Antimicrobial Stewardship: The Evolution of Programmatic Strategies and Barriers.** *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2011; Apr;32(4):367-374. ([PubMed](#))
- Drew RH, White R, MacDougall C, Hermesen ED, Owens RC Jr. **Insights from the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists on Antimicrobial Stewardship Guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America.** *Pharmacotherapy* 2009; May;29(5):593-607. ([PubMed](#))
- MacDougall C, Polk RE. **Antimicrobial Stewardship Programs in Health Care Systems.** *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2005; Oct;18(4):638-656. ([PubMed](#))